Case 3:23-cv-03417-VC Document 490-46 Filed 03/24/25 Page 1 of 9

GHAJAR EXHIBIT 48

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Hugo Touvron

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

----x

RICHARD KADREY, et al.,

Plaintiffs, :

v. : Case No.: 3:23-CV-03417-VC

META PLATFORMS, INC., :

Defendant. :

-----x

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL, ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

Videotaped Deposition of

HUGO TOUVRON

Tuesday, December 3, 2024

10:06 a.m. GST

London, England

Reported by: Cassidy Western, RPR, CRR

.....

DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP

1730 M. Street, NW, Suite 812

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 232-0646

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 12
1	* * * *
2	HUGO TOUVRON,
3	of lawful age, being first duly sworn or affirmed
4	to testify to the truth, the whole truth, and
5	nothing but the truth, was examined and testified
6	as follows:
7	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFFS,
8	RICHARD KADREY, et al.
9	BY MR. SIMONS:
10	Q And with that, good morning,
11	Mr. Touvron. My name is David Simons. I
12	represent the plaintiffs. I'm going to be the
13	person sort of asking you the questions today.
14	Could you just state your name for the
15	record.
16	A (In English) Hugo Touvron.
17	Q And are you presently employed?
18	A Yeah. I'm present employee at Meta.
19	Q At Meta Platforms?
20	A Yeah.
21	Q And what is your current title?
22	A Research AI research scientist.

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only Hugo Touvron

Page 124 1 maybe it can be tested with some experiment. But 2 otherwise, I would say it's hard to say. 3 And you're not sure if Meta's done that 4 type of experiment? 5 Yeah, I'm not sure we did that because it can be quite complex to do this kind of 6 7 experiment. If -- yeah. Is there a reason why in LLaMA 1, for 8 Q 9 instance, books were used -- there was a high proportion of epochs for books than there was for 10 other datasets? 11 12 I think it's also relative to the size 13 of the dataset because, first of all, we try to 14 adapt -- if I remember correctly, we try to adapt 15 the sampling of our data in order to match what 16 was in the Chinchilla paper. The Chinchilla paper 17 from Google. 18 And we try to adjust our weighting according to that. And I think this is the 19 20 rationale of why we adjust this sampling and why 21 we have these epoch. But it's a long time ago, 22 and I don't remember exactly what was the

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only Hugo Touvron

Page 125

- 1 discussion and the rationale to do all this
- 2 adaptation.
- 3 Q In your experience, are books useful for
- 4 long context training specifically?
- 5 A I don't -- I don't run long context
- 6 experiment. Yeah, so I don't know -- I don't know
- 7 if it's useful. You mean for at pretraining time?
- 8 Q Yeah, let's start with pretraining.
- 9 A It's -- yeah, for me, it's not clear if
- 10 it's useful at pretraining time. Yeah, I mean, we
- 11 need to do some experiment. But there is some pro
- 12 and there is also some -- some cons related to how
- 13 the pretraining is designed.
- 14 Q If the LLaMA models didn't train on
- 15 books or scientific articles, in your opinion,
- 16 would that degrade their performance?
- 17 A It depend of the knowledge. So, for
- 18 instance, if there is a particular knowledge that
- 19 is not present in any other dataset, yes. If this
- 20 knowledge is present in other dataset, maybe not.
- 21 Because there is -- and it depend on the knowledge
- 22 because there is some knowledge you can infer from

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

```
Page 256
 1
     guess, prompts and responses that have been used
 2
     to -- to ensure that the model doesn't output
     copyrighted material?
 3
               You mean if we are fine-tuning the model
 4
          Α
 5
     on some particular answer to avoid the model to
     provide -- well, I mean --
 6
 7
               Yeah, that --
               If it -- if it has a particular question
 8
          Α
 9
     to provide the model to answer something?
10
               That would be one example, yeah.
          Q
               So for instance, if you ask the model
11
          Α
12
     how to make a bomb, he should not answer that.
13
          Q
               Well, that.
                            But apply it to copyright.
14
     Like if I -- if you ask the model to give me the
15
     entire copy of "Moby-Dick," has there been any
16
     training put into the model to avoid the
17
     possibility that the model will output an entire
18
     copy of "Moby-Dick"?
19
               For me, it's not super clear for -- for
20
     instance, for "Moby-Dick" because I don't know if
21
     there is a copyright issue with "Moby-Dick."
22
     mean, I am --
```

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 257
1	Q Sorry
2	A completely not familiar with
3	Q No, that's fine. Let's do one like
4	"Harry Potter," a more recent novel. Is there any
5	copyright mitigation that you understand, any
6	training that's been done to avoid fine-tuning
7	or you know, any fine-tuning or any any sort
8	of that sort that's been done to the model so that
9	if someone asks for it to output the entire copy
10	of "Harry Potter" that it won't do that? It will
11	refuse to do that?
12	From what I remember, we put particular
13	data in order to have some templated answer for
14	some particular question like "make a bomb," for
15	instance. But I don't know exactly if it's for
16	what is called copyright in a legal sense.
17	Because, for instance, when I say "copyright," I'm
18	pretty sure my definition is not the legal one.
19	Q So let's just say if someone were to ask
20	the model to provide a copy of "Harry Potter" and
21	the answer the model provides is I'm sorry, I'm
22	not able to do that because the book is under

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

Hugo Touvron

Page 258 1 copyright, how would it know to provide that answer? 3 What we did is we incorporate some --A 4 some data in order to have this kind of templated 5 answer, and this is part of the safety work, provide some particular templated answer for some 6 7 particular question. 8 And when you say we incorporate this 9 data to have this kind of completed answer, what 10 is the data that you incorporated? How does that 11 process work? 12 I think it's some human annotator that 13 annotates that. I'm not sure 100 percent. Maybe 14 some generated (indiscernible). I don't know. 15 But I think it's maybe human annotator. 16 And do you know -- and then the model is 17 trained on these annotations to know that if 18 somebody asks for a particular copy of work, to 19 provide that type of response? 20 Α I'm sorry. Can you repeat? 21 Yeah, so then you referenced annotated 2.2 data, the model would be trained on that annotated

Richard Kadrey, et al. v. Meta Platforms, Inc. Highly Confidential - Attorneys' Eyes Only

	Page 450
1	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, CASSIDY WESTERN, RPR, CRR, the officer
3	before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do
4	hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a
5	true and correct record of the testimony given;
6	that said testimony was taken by me
7	stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8	typewriting under my direction; that reading and
9	signing was requested; and that I am neither
10	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of
11	the parties to this case and have no interest,
12	financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
14	my hand this 6th day of December, 2024.
15	My commission expires August 4, 2025.
16	
17	
18	
19	C0112A
20	
21	Cassidy Western, RPR, CRR Date
22	